## Hydrogeological Investigations

Lena Pappas, EGLE Water Use Assessment Section (WUAS) Michael Pennington, EGLE Wetlands, Lakes and Streams Unit (WLSU) Why talk about hydrogeos at a wetlands conference?



Some projects may alter local hydrologic conditions by diverting water or changing groundwater levels



EGLE is required to look at both direct and indirect impacts to wetlands and water resources



A hydrogeo is one tool in the toolbox (but there could be others)

## EGLE's Team Approach to Hydrogeo Reviews

District Staff (process application)

Water Use Assessment Unit (reviews hydrogeos and/or numerical models)

Wetlands, Lakes and Streams Unit (assists in determining potential impacts to wetlands or water resources)

### Hydrogeologic Investigation Phases

- 1. Is a hydrogeologic investigation needed?
- 2. Is the hydrogeologic investigation complete?
- 3. Does the hydrogeologic investigation require a groundwater model?
- 4. Are the hydrogeologic investigation results reliable?

1. Is a hydrogeologic investigation needed?

### Hydrogeologic Investigation Flowchart



Dewatering effects hydraulic conditions.

If dewatering pump is used, a WWAT registration may be needed.

222202025120

### 1. Will the excavation be dewatered?



2. Will the lake have an artificial outlet?



# 3. Is the outlet invert below the groundwater table?





### Monitoring Well Network

A minimum of 5 WellS must be present to consider the application complete.



Surficial aquifers can include measurements from settling ponds, lakes, naturally occurring streams, monitoring/observation wells, and/or piezometers.

## 5. Are waterbodies within 500 feet? 6. Are wetlands within 1,000 feet? 7. Is the final lake size greater than 50 acres?



2. Is the hydrogeologic investigation complete?

	Hydrogeologic Investigation Component	Report Page(s)
1	Baseline Site Maps	
2	Cross Sections	
3	Proposed Conditions Map and Project Description	
4	Five Groundwater Monitoring Wells	
5	Potentiometric Surface Maps of Baseline and Final Conditions	
6	Wellogic Well Logs	
7	Pumping Rate(s) and Water Withdrawal Assessment Tool (WWAT) Registration (if needed)	
8	Drawdown Prediction Model Selected	
9	Drawdown Predictions	

Hydrogeologic Investigation Completeness Checklist



Maps should include:

- Property boundary,
- Proposed lake boundary,
- Boring or monitoring well locations,
- Test pits or settling ponds,
- Sensitive areas (streams, wetlands, private wells, etc.)

## 1. Detailed maps





### Cross Section CSM

- CSM = Conceptual Site Model
- Vertical and lateral interpretation of geologic material connectivity



This cross section would not be considered complete. No geologic information is shown.

## 3. Detailed project description







### Measure groundwater in a similar time frame

### (within 48 hours)







MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY



Michigan.gov Home | WWAT Home | Map | Access Data | Contact Us

### Welcome

7. Pump rate and WWA registration g a registration installed within the

The Water Withdrawal Assessment Tool (WWAT) is designed to estimate the likely impact of Use of the WWAT is required of anyone antity withdrawal from the waters of the er sources, prior to beginning the he if a proposed withdrawal is likely to ster the withdrawal. The results page A registration is valid for 18 months; the 18 months or the registration becomes

https://www.egle.state.mi.us/wwat/default.aspx



Michigan.gov Home | WWAT Home | Contact WWAT | State Web Sites Privacy Policy | Link Policy | Accessibility Policy | Security Policy Convright @ 2023 State of Michigan

Daviau et al. (1985) uniform flux and infinite conductivity horizontal well Barker (1988) generalized radial flow model

### Pumping Tests in Leaky Confined Aquifers

Hantush-Jacob (1955)

Hantush-Jacob (1955) step test

Hantush (1960) early-time solution

Hantush (1960) complete solution

Cooley-Case (1973) water-table aquitard

Neuman-Witherspoon (1969) two-aquifer s

Moench (1985) Cases 1, 2 and 3

### Pumping Tests in Unconfi

Theis (1935)

Cooper-Jacob (1946)

Neuman (1974); Moench (1993, 1996)

Moench (1997)

Tartakovsky-Neuman (2007)

**Pumping Tests in Fractured Aquifers** 

#8. Analytic model selection and assumptions



### Assumptions

- ✓ aquifer has infinite areal extent
- aquifer is homogeneous and of uniform thickness
- control well is fully or partially penetrating
- flow to control well is horizontal when control well is fully penetrating
- ✓ aquifer is nonleaky confined
- flow is unsteady
- water is released instantaneously from storage with decline of hydraulic head
- diameter of a pumping well is very small so that storage in the well can be neglected

## Thiess Equation

- Laterally discrete aquifer distribution (drawdown extends to aquifer boundaries)
- Significant heterogeneity



## Drawdown Predictions

### 1. Short-term drawdown

### 2. Long-term drawdown

3. Hydraulic gradient flattening

3. Does the hydrogeologic investigation require a groundwater model?

### Continuous Lateral Flow Minimal Heterogeneity



### Groundwater Model Not Needed

Variable geology Strange lake shape

940

890 Ξ

1901 920

ž 910 8





Hydrogeologic Investigation Component		Report Page(s)
1	Baseline Site Maps	
2	Cross Sections	
3	Proposed Conditions Map and Project Description	
4	Five Groundwater Monitoring Wells	
5	Potentiometric Surface Maps of Baseline and Final Conditions	
6	Wellogic Well Logs	
7	Pumping Rate(s) and Water Withdrawal Assessment Tool (WWAT) Registration (if needed)	
8	Drawdown Prediction Model Selected	
9	Drawdown Predictions	

Hydrogeologic Investigation Completeness Checklist 4. Are the hydrogeologic investigation results reliable?

## So what do we do with the results?

It depends...

District Staff work with Wetlands, Lakes and Streams Unit to assess impacts

Could result in further avoidance/minimization, monitoring conditions, mitigation

Each project is unique